

# EXHIBIT 8

Page 1

Page 3

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MIDLAND/ODESSA DIVISION

VIRTAMOVE, CORP., )  
 )  
 ) CASE NO.  
 PLAINTIFF, ) 7:24-CV-00030  
 )  
 )  
 v. )  
 )  
 )  
 AMAZON.COM, INC.; AMAZON.COM )  
 )  
 SERVICES LLC; AND AMAZON WEB )  
 )  
 SERVICES, INC., )  
 )  
 )  
 DEFENDANTS. )  
 )

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF DONN ROCHETTE  
TAKEN REMOTELY VIA ZOOM VIDEOCONFERENCE  
TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 10, 2024  
11:04 A.M. CDT

REPORTED BY AUDRA E. CRAMER, CSR NO. 9901

DIGITAL EVIDENCE GROUP  
1730 M Street, NW, Suite 812  
Washington, D.C. 20036  
(202) 232-0646

1		I N D E X
2	EXAMINATION	PAGE
3	BY MR. ANAPOL	5
4	BY MR. TONG	95
5	BY MR. ANAPOL	139
6	BY MR. TONG	155

E X H I B I T S		
9 NO.	PAGE	DESCRIPTION
10 1010	14	US PATENT 7,519,814
11 1009	18	ARCHIVE VERSION OF THE
12		HOMEPAGE ONCORE SYSTEMS
13		CORPORATION
14 1011	24	US PATENT 7,784,058
15 1012	42	AUSTRALIAN UNIX SYSTEMS
16		USER GROUP NEWSLETTER,
17		VOLUME 8, NUMBER 5
18	QUESTIONS INSTRUCTED BY COUNSEL NOT TO ANSWER	
	PAGE	LINE
19	144	7
	145	2
20	REPORTER'S NOTE: All quotations from exhibits are	
	reflected in the manner in which they were read into the	
21	record and do not necessarily indicate an exact quote	
22	from the document.	

Page 2

Page 4

1 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF DONN ROCHETTE,  
2 TAKEN REMOTELY VIA ZOOM ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS  
3 AT 11:04 A.M. CDT, TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 10, 2024, BEFORE  
4 AUDRA E. CRAMER, CSR NO. 9901, PURSUANT TO SUBPOENA.

6 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL.

8 ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF:

10           RUSS AUGUST & KABAT  
11           BY: PETER TONG, ESQUIRE  
12           4925 GREENVILLE AVENUE, SUITE 200  
13           DALLAS, TEXAS 75206  
              (310) 826-7474  
14           ptong@raklaw.com

ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS:

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR LLP  
17 BY: JEREMY ANAPOL, ESQUIRE  
2040 MAIN STREET, 14TH FLOOR  
18 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614  
(949) 760-0404  
19 jeremy.anapol@knobbe.com

ALSO PRESENT

BILLY FAHNERT, VIDEOGRAPHER

1 REMOTELY VIA ZOOM VIDEOCONFERENCE  
2 TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 10, 2024, 11:04 A.M. CDT

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. This is the remote video deposition of Donn Rochette in the matter of VirtaMove Corp. versus Amazon.com, Inc., et al., filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas.

10 My name is Billy Fahnert. I am the  
11 video technician today. The court reporter is  
12 Audra Cramer. We both represent Digital  
13 Evidence Group.

14 Today's date is September 10, 2024.  
15 The time is 11:04 a.m. Central Standard Time.

16 All parties have stipulated to the  
17 witness being sworn in remotely.

18 Will Counsel please identify yourselves  
19 for the record, and then the witness will be  
20 sworn in.

21 MR. TONG: This is Peter Tong from Russ  
22 August & Kabat on behalf of VirtaMove

9/10/2024

VirtaMove Corp. v. Amazon.com, Inc., et al.

Donn Rochette

Page 13

1 **Q. Was it Trigen AE?**  
 2 A. Oh, yeah. That's it. Yes. Thank you.  
 3 **Q. And --**  
 4 A. Yeah. Yes.  
 5 **Q. And did the "AE" stand for "application**  
 6 **environment"?**  
 7 A. Yes, I did.  
 8 **Q. And you worked on several patents while**  
 9 **you were at Trigen; correct?**  
 10 A. Yes.  
 11 **Q. And do you understand that two of those**  
 12 **patents are asserted in this case?**  
 13 A. Yes.  
 14 **Q. And I'm just going to show you some**  
 15 **documents to help with this discussion.**  
 16 A. Okay.  
 17 MR. ANAPOL: And so I'm going to ask  
 18 Billy to mark them, but first I have to put them  
 19 where Billy can see them.  
 20 So, Billy, could you go ahead and mark  
 21 Document A as Exhibit 1010, please. 1010.  
 22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Give me one

Page 14

1 moment to pull it down.  
 2 (Whereupon, Exhibit 1010 was  
 3 marked for identification.)  
 4 BY MR. ANAPOL:  
 5 **Q. And, Mr. Rochette, do you recognize**  
 6 **Exhibit 1010?**  
 7 A. Yes.  
 8 **Q. And this is a copy of US Patent**  
 9 **No. 7,519,814.**  
 10 **Do you see that --**  
 11 A. Yes.  
 12 **Q. -- in the upper right?**  
 13 A. Yeah.  
 14 **Q. And you are listed as the first named**  
 15 **inventor on this patent.**  
 16 **Do you see that?**  
 17 A. Yes.  
 18 **Q. So where it says "Donn Rochette,**  
 19 **Fenton, Iowa, United States," that's you;**  
 20 **correct?**  
 21 A. That is me, correct.  
 22 **Q. And does the '814 patent generally**

Page 15

1 **relate to containers?**  
 2 A. Yes.  
 3 **Q. When did you first become aware of**  
 4 **containers?**  
 5 A. Early 2000s. Would have been about a  
 6 year -- it would have been **about two years**  
 7 **before this patent was published.** So, yeah, we  
 8 were discussing the concepts and examining ways  
 9 of creating an environment where applications  
 10 can run -- disparate applications could run,  
 11 yes.  
 12 **Q. And I just want to clarify one thing**  
 13 **you said. You said "about two years before this**  
 14 **patent was published."**  
 15 **Did you mean published or filed?**  
 16 A. Filed. Thank you for the  
 17 clarification. Yes.  
 18 **Q. So you can see on this page here, on**  
 19 **the bottom left corner of the portion that Billy**  
 20 **was showing -- Billy, if you scroll up.**  
 21 **Under "Related US Application Data," it**  
 22 **refers to two provisional applications that were**

Page 16

1 **filed in September 2003.**  
 2 A. Uh-huh. Right.  
 3 **Q. So those are the initial disclosures**  
 4 **that this is based on.**  
 5 **Is that the filing date that you were**  
 6 **referring to --**  
 7 A. Yes.  
 8 **Q. -- when you were saying two years**  
 9 **earlier?**  
 10 A. Yes. We would have been discussing and  
 11 talking about and envisioning things, yes,  
 12 before this was -- before the provisional was  
 13 filed.  
 14 **Q. And how did you first learn about**  
 15 **containers?**  
 16 MR. TONG: Objection. Foundation.  
 17 BY MR. ANAPOL:  
 18 **Q. You can go ahead and answer,**  
 19 **Mr. Rochette.**  
 20 A. Oh, okay.  
 21 I first learned about containers in  
 22 discussions with corporate -- with people

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

9/10/2024

VirtaMove Corp. v. Amazon.com, Inc., et al.

Donn Rochette

Page 49

1 A. Because Apple's architecture runs in  
2 the same way, uses the same kernel we were  
3 using.

4 **Q. And who aside from you would know, from**  
5 **working at OnCore, how the networking stack was**  
6 **implemented?**

7 A. Same thing. Lots of people would  
8 understand how it works, maybe not specifically  
9 what OnCore was doing, but the architecture, the  
10 concept, the techniques would all be well known  
11 by anybody at Apple.

12 **Q. And do you know if any software**  
13 **developers who worked with you at OnCore are**  
14 **still in Northern California?**

15 A. There's a gentleman called George  
16 Marrow that's still in Northern California.  
17 That's the only one that I know of that I can  
18 think of anyway right now.

19 MR. ANAPOL: Mr. Rochette, would you  
20 like to take a five-minute break? We've been  
21 going for about an hour.

22 THE WITNESS: I would. Thank you.

Page 51

1 **discussions with Sun Microsystems that you**  
2 **testified about earlier, do you know if they had**  
3 **created zones yet at the time.**

4 A. Yes, they had. It was very new, but  
5 they were out and released in the product.

6 **Q. I just want to at this point get an**  
7 **overview based on your experience working in**  
8 **Silicon Valley and with people in Silicon Valley**  
9 **about what they knew during the time you worked**  
10 **for OnCore. Okay?**

11 A. Okay.

12 **Q. Okay. So -- and I understand you left**  
13 **OnCore in 2002; is that right?**

14 A. I don't remember the exact date, but  
15 that sounds -- that sounds like the right range,  
16 yes.

17 **Q. Or maybe earlier, but by 2002?**

18 A. Yeah.

19 **Q. Okay. So by 2002 did software**  
20 **developers in Silicon Valley know that large**  
21 **numbers of computers running different operating**  
22 **systems could be connected in a network?**

Page 50

1 MR. ANAPOL: Back in five minutes  
2 everybody?

3 MR. TONG: That's fine with us.

4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the  
5 record. The time is 11:59.

6 (Recess taken.)

7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the  
8 record. The time is 12:09.

9 BY MR. ANAPOL:

10 **Q. Mr. Rochette, before the break you**  
11 **mentioned an individual named George Marrow.**

12 A. Uh-huh.

13 **Q. Could you give us the spelling of that**  
14 **if you know it.**

15 A. M-a-r-r-o-w.

16 **Q. And --**

17 A. I haven't spoken to George in a long  
18 time. You asked if there might be anybody, and  
19 that's the only one I could think of who might  
20 be around.

21 **Q. Okay. We can check. Thank you.**  
22 **At the time that you had it these**

Page 52

1 MR. TONG: Objection. Foundation.  
2 Calls for speculation.

3 THE WITNESS: Yes, there were many  
4 examples of that scenario you just described by  
5 that timeframe.

6 BY MR. ANAPOL:

7 **Q. And by 2002 did software developers in**  
8 **Silicon Valley know that servers running**  
9 **different operating systems could work together**  
10 **to provide a service?**

11 MR. TONG: Objection. Foundation.  
12 Calls for speculation.

13 THE WITNESS: Yes, there are also  
14 examples of that by that date.

15 BY MR. ANAPOL:

16 **Q. Can you give an example?**

17 A. We discussed things with Chase Bank in  
18 New York, and they were using Solaris and  
19 Windows together to form various applications,  
20 Windows being the front end, Solaris being the  
21 data management in the back end, together  
22 forming a service.

13 (Pages 49 to 52)

9/10/2024

VirtaMove Corp. v. Amazon.com, Inc., et al.

Donn Rochette

Page 53

1 Q. That reminds me. One follow-up  
 2 question about OnCore: So was OnCore selling  
 3 the operating system we discussed earlier by  
 4 2021?  
 5 A. Yes.  
 6 Q. By 2002 did software developers in  
 7 Silicon Valley know that software could run  
 8 inside of containers?  
 9 MR. TONG: Objection. Foundation.  
 10 Calls for speculation.  
 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. The use of the term  
 12 "containers" is broad, but if you allow yourself  
 13 a broad definition of "containers," the answer  
 14 is yes.  
 15 BY MR. ANAPOL:  
 16 Q. Did software developers in Silicon  
 17 Valley by 2002 know that servers could host more  
 18 than one container?  
 19 THE WITNESS: Yes.  
 20 MR. TONG: Same objection, belated.  
 21 BY MR. ANAPOL:  
 22 Q. By 2002 did software developers in

Page 55

1 BY MR. ANAPOL:  
 2 Q. By 2002 did software developers in  
 3 Silicon Valley know that putting an application  
 4 in a container could prevent the application  
 5 from interfering with applications in another  
 6 container?  
 7 MR. TONG: Same objections. Calls for  
 8 speculation. Foundation. Calls for a legal  
 9 conclusion. Not venue discovery.  
 10 THE WITNESS: Again, in the context of  
 11 Solaris zones, that would be an accurate  
 12 statement. At least in the context of Solaris  
 13 zones.  
 14 BY MR. ANAPOL:  
 15 Q. What about in the context of OnCore  
 16 operating system?  
 17 A. Yes.  
 18 MR. TONG: Same objections.  
 19 THE WITNESS: Well, no, not in OnCore.  
 20 In Trigen. Excuse me.  
 21 BY MR. ANAPOL:  
 22 Q. So did the OnCore containers not

Page 54

1 Silicon Valley know that putting an application  
 2 in a container could prevent the application  
 3 from accessing files in another container?  
 4 MR. TONG: Same objection. Calls for a  
 5 legal conclusion.  
 6 I'm also objecting to this whole line  
 7 of questioning again as getting into fact  
 8 discovery that Mr. Donn Rochette is not  
 9 obligated to answer during venue discovery.  
 10 BY MR. ANAPOL:  
 11 Q. Do you need me to repeat the question,  
 12 Mr. Rochette?  
 13 A. Yes, please.  
 14 Q. Sure.  
 15 By 2002 did software developers in  
 16 Silicon Valley know that putting an application  
 17 in a container could prevent the application  
 18 from accessing files in another container?  
 19 MR. TONG: Same objections.  
 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. In the context of  
 21 Solaris zones, that is a true statement.  
 22

Page 56

1 confine the applications in the container?  
 2 MR. TONG: Objection. Calls for legal  
 3 conclusions.  
 4 THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's difficult to  
 5 answer.  
 6 The Unix applications running with Unix  
 7 were not separated and could affect each other.  
 8 Embedded applications were separated.  
 9 BY MR. ANAPOL:  
 10 Q. So, in other words, you could have  
 11 multiple applications in one container?  
 12 MR. TONG: Objection. Vague.  
 13 THE WITNESS: In a Unix context, yes.  
 14 BY MR. ANAPOL:  
 15 Q. And the applications running in the  
 16 Unix context on top of OnCore would be prevented  
 17 from interfering with the applications running  
 18 on the real-time portion of the operating  
 19 system; correct?  
 20 A. Yes.  
 21 MR. TONG: Objection. Calls for a  
 22 legal conclusion.

14 (Pages 53 to 56)